

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,296	07/30/2003	John Graeme Pepin	EL0475 US CIP	9720	
23906	7590 12/01/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	NT DE NEMOURS AND	SAVAGE,	SAVAGE, JASON L		
	TENT RECORDS CENTER ILL PLAZA 25/1128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4417 LANCA	ASTER PIKE	1775	1775		
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805.	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2000	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/630,29	6	PEPIN, JOHN GRAEME					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jason L. S	avage	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	•								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice und	his action is now	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-22 and 27</u> is/are Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13, 23-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and	e withdrawn fi							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			٠				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	,	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 27 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The invention of previously examined claims 1-13 and newly submitted claims 23-26 and newly submitted claim 27 are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful for making a single layer and single patterned print as opposed to the claimed final product comprising multiple prints having different patterns such that a three dimensional construct is made and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 27 has been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/630,296

Art Unit: 1775

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon, there is no support in the specification as originally filed for the D50 particle size distribution being in the range of (emphasis added) 36.4 to 63.2 microns such as recited in the claims. It is noted the specification recites two examples, one having a D50 particle size of 36.4 and another example having a D50 particle size of 63.2, however there is no support for the range as claimed.

Regard claim 25, there is no support in the specification as originally filed for the composition to have a viscosity in the range of 15 to 30 PaS. It is noted that the specification makes reference to a variety of ranges for the viscosity, however there is no disclosure of the claimed range nor is there support for a viscosity of 15 PaS.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 23-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to Applicant's arguments in view of the previous rejections over Topfer, ISAMM'02 and Benz, Applicant's arguments were found to overcome the

Application/Control Number: 10/630,296

Art Unit: 1775

don/Control Number: 10/030,23

rejections since on pages 6 and 7 of the specification, the polymers as claimed provide toughness, ductility and flexibility providing for a composition having a maximized viscosity with minimal polymer content compared to typical polymers employed in similar thick film compositions. It is also noted that the prior art does not teach or suggest the use of particles having a D50 particle size distribution in the claimed range.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,296

Art Unit: 1775

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Savage whose telephone number is 571-272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason Savage 11-22-06

JENNIFER MCNEIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER